Approximating Roots of a Polynomial

Created by

Barbara Forrest and Brian Forrest

Definition: [Roots of a Polynomial]

If $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ is a polynomial, then a root of p(x) is any number c such that p(c) = 0.

Problem: How do you know if a polynomial

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

has any real roots and if it does, how do you find them?

Roots of a Linear Polynomial



Example: Linear Polynomials

If p(x) = ax + b, where $a \neq 0$, the only real root is given by

$$x = \frac{-b}{a}$$

Example: Quadratic Polynomials

If $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$, the quadratic formula tells us that p(x) = 0 if and only if

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Hence, p(x) has a real root if and only if $b^2 - 4ac \ge 0$.

Example: Does $p(x) = x^5 + x - 1$ have any real roots and if so, how can we find one?

Remarks:

- 1) There is no formula to find the roots of a generic fifth degree polynomial.
- 2) We have

 $p(0)=0^5+0-1=-1<0 \ \text{ and } \ p(1)=1^5+1-1=1>0.$

- 3) Since p(x) is continuous on the closed interval [0, 1], the IVT guarantees that there is a point c with 0 < c < 1 such that p(c) = 0.
- 4) Since $p'(x) = 5x^4 + 1 > 0$, p(x) has only one root.

Question: Can the IVT help us find c?



Step 1: We know that if $p(x) = x^5 + x - 1$, then

p(0) < 0 and p(1) > 0

so $c \in (0,1)$.



Step 2: Test the midpoint $d_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ between 0 and 1 to get

$$p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 + \frac{1}{2} - 1 = -\frac{15}{32} < 0$$

We have $p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) < 0$ and p(1) > 0, so $c \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1).$



Step 3: Test the midpoint $d_2 = \frac{3}{4} = .75$ between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1.

If p(.75) > 0, then since p(.5) < 0, the root would be in the interval [.5, .75].

If p(.75) < 0, the root would be in the interval [.75, 1] since we know that p(1) > 0.

In fact,

$$p(.75) = -.0126953 < 0$$

so the root is in the interval [.75, 1].



Step 4: Find the new midpoint:

$$d_3 = \frac{1 + .75}{2} = .875$$

Test this new midpoint to find that

$$p(.875) = .3879089 > 0$$

Since the sign change now occurs between 0.75 and 0.875, the next interval of interest is [.75, .875].



Step 5: Again, find the new midpoint:

$$d_4 = \frac{.75 + .875}{2} = .8125$$

We get that

$$p(.8125) = .1665926 > 0$$

so we know that the root lies in the interval [.75, .8125].



Step 6: Continue by finding the next midpoint

$$d_5 = \frac{.75 + .8125}{2} = .78125$$

and then determine that

$$p(.78125) = .0722883 > 0.$$

Since p(.78125) is the same sign as p(.8125), we replace 0.8125 with 0.78125 to give us the new interval [.75, .78125].



Step 7: The next midpoint becomes

$$d_6 = \frac{.75 + .78125}{2} = .765625$$

We have

$$p(.765625) = .0287006 > 0$$

so the root is in the interval [.75, .765625].

Step 8: The next midpoint is

$$d_7 = \frac{.75 + .765625}{2} = .7578125$$

Evaluating p(x) at this point gives

$$p(.7578125) = .007737 > 0$$

so the sign change occurs between x = .75 and x = .7578125

Step 9: One more iteration of the procedure gives us a new midpoint

$$d_8 = \frac{.75 + .7578125}{2} = .75390625$$

with

$$p(.75390625) = -.002544 < 0.$$

Replace 0.75 as the new left-hand endpoint with 0.75390625 so that

0.75390625 < c < 0.7578125



Observation: We have not found c, but we know that

0.75390625 < c < 0.7578125

The length of this interval is

$$.7578125 - 0.75390625 = .00390625 = \frac{1}{256} = \frac{1}{2^8}.$$

Note: The original interval had length 1 and we have run through 8 iterations of the procedure with each iteration producing a new interval exactly $\frac{1}{2}$ of the length of the previous interval.



Conclusion: If we want to make the final estimate d_9 of the root, the midpoint of the previous two endpoints is

$$c \cong d_9 = rac{0.75390625 + 0.7578125}{2} = 0.755859375$$

The error in the estimate is at most the maximum distance from the final estimate to each of the two endpoints in the final interval. That is,

$$|d_9 - c| = |0.755859375 - c| \le \frac{1}{2^9} = \frac{1}{512}.$$